

Design & Appraisal Stage Quality Assurance Report

Form Status: Approved

Overall Rating:	Satisfactory
Decision:	Approve: The project is of sufficient quality to continue as planned. Any management actions must be addressed in a timely manner.
Portfolio/Project Number:	00100485
Portfolio/Project Title:	Support to Integrated Reconciliation in Iraq
Portfolio/Project Date:	2017-01-01 / 2022-03-31

Strategic**Quality Rating: Highly Satisfactory**

1. Does the project specify how it will contribute to higher level change through linkage to the programme's Theory of Change?

- 3: The project is clearly linked to the programme's theory of change. It has an explicit change pathway that explains how the project will contribute to outcome level change and why the project's strategy will likely lead to this change. This analysis is backed by credible evidence of what works effectively in this context and includes assumptions and risks.
- 2: *The project is clearly linked to the programme's theory of change. It has a change pathway that explains how the project will contribute to outcome-level change and why the project strategy will likely lead to this change.*
- 1: The project document may describe in generic terms how the project will contribute to development results, without an explicit link to the programme's theory of change.

Evidence:

The project is linked to the programme's theory of change and is outlined in the attached project document.

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
1	IRP2019t02021signedwithAnnexes_10587_101 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/IRP2019t02021signedwithAnnexes_10587_101.pdf)	sara.malamud@undp.org	11/29/2021 2:03:00 PM

2. Is the project aligned with the UNDP Strategic Plan?

- 3: The project responds to at least one of the development settings as specified in the [Strategic Plan](#)¹ and adapts at least one [Signature Solution](#)². The project's RRF includes all the relevant SP output indicators. (all must be true)
- 2: *The project responds to at least one of the development settings as specified in the Strategic Plan⁴. The project's RRF includes at least one SP output indicator, if relevant. (both must be true)*
- 1: The project responds to a partner's identified need, but this need falls outside of the UNDP Strategic Plan. Also select this option if none of the relevant SP indicators are included in the RRF.

Evidence:

The project document currently lists responds to the following output from the Strategic Plan:

Early recovery and rapid return to sustainable development pathways are achieved in post-conflict and post-disaster settings.

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
1	IRP2019t02021signedwithAnnexes_10587_102 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/IRP2019t02021signedwithAnnexes_10587_102.pdf)	sara.malamud@undp.org	11/29/2021 2:03:00 PM

3. Is the project linked to the programme outputs? (i.e., UNDAF Results Group Workplan/CPD, RPD or Strategic Plan IRRF for global projects/strategic interventions not part of a programme)

- Yes
 No

Evidence:

The project document currently lists Output 1.2: Civil society and academia enabled to promote social cohesion, PVE and sustainable development under the CPD 2020-2024 and lists the following indicators:

Indicator 1.2.1: # of CSOs supported to engage in sustainable development, conflict prevention and mitigation processes

Indicator 1.2.3: # of community level mechanisms for conflict resolution and consensus building that are operational with the engagement of youth and women

Indicator 1.2.4: # of people engaged in the innovative use of social media and local networks to address development challenges and social cohesion (gender disaggregated)

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
1	ProjectDocument-2017SupporttoIntegratedReconciliationinIraq_10587_103 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/ProjectDocument-2017SupporttoIntegratedReconciliationinIraq_10587_103.docx)	sara.malamud@undp.org	11/22/2021 8:34:00 AM

Relevant**Quality Rating: Highly Satisfactory**

4. Do the project target groups leave furthest behind?

- 3: The target groups are clearly specified, prioritising discriminated, and marginalized groups left furthest behind, identified through a rigorous process based on evidence.
- 2: *The target groups are clearly specified, prioritizing groups left furthest behind.*
- 1: The target groups are not clearly specified.

Evidence:

The project report clearly indicate target groups and the activities designed for them.

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
1	IRPQ32021Report_10587_104 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/IRPQ32021Report_10587_104.docx)	sara.malamud@undp.org	11/16/2021 10:40:00 AM
2	IRP2019t02021signedwithAnnexes_10587_104 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/IRP2019t02021signedwithAnnexes_10587_104.pdf)	sara.malamud@undp.org	11/29/2021 2:04:00 PM

5. Have knowledge, good practices, and past lessons learned of UNDP and others informed the project design?

- 3: *Knowledge and lessons learned backed by credible evidence from sources such as evaluation, corporate policies/strategies, and/or monitoring have been explicitly used, with appropriate referencing, to justify the approach used by the project.*
- 2: The project design mentions knowledge and lessons learned backed by evidence/sources but have not been used to justify the approach selected.
- 1: There is little, or no mention of knowledge and lessons learned informing the project design. Any references made are anecdotal and not backed by evidence.

Evidence:

During the restructuring of the IRP project in 2019, the first phase provided lessons learned and key knowledge to ensure this second phase included an inclusive and justified approach.

List of Uploaded Documents			
#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
1	ReconciliationandSocialCohesioninIraqCommunityMechanisms_10587_105 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/ReconciliationandSocialCohesioninIraqCommunityMechanisms_10587_105.docx)	sara.malamud@undp.org	11/22/2021 8:35:00 AM
2	IntegratedWorkplanSocialCohesionIraqDRAFT_10587_105 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/IntegratedWorkplanSocialCohesionIraqDRAFT_10587_105.docx)	sara.malamud@undp.org	11/22/2021 8:36:00 AM
3	SocialCohesionMappingandRecommendationsIraq2019Malinlastdraft_10587_105 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/SocialCohesionMappingandRecommendationsIraq2019Malinlastdraft_10587_105.docx)	sara.malamud@undp.org	11/22/2021 8:38:00 AM
4	LessonsLearnedUNDPIRPDec2018_10587_105 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/LessonsLearnedUNDPIRPDec2018_10587_105.docx)	sara.malamud@undp.org	11/22/2021 8:35:00 AM
5	BTOR-IraqReconciliationSocialCohesionNovandDec2018_10587_105 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/BTOR-IraqReconciliationSocialCohesionNovandDec2018_10587_105.docx)	sara.malamud@undp.org	11/22/2021 8:35:00 AM
6	Lessonlearnt_UK_10587_105 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/Lessonlearnt_UK_10587_105.pdf)	sara.malamud@undp.org	11/24/2021 12:03:00 PM

6. Does UNDP have a clear advantage to engage in the role envisioned by the project vis-à-vis national / regional / global partners and other actors?

- 3: An analysis has been conducted on the role of other partners in the area where the project intends to work, and credible evidence supports the proposed engagement of UNDP and partners through the project, including identification of potential funding partners. It is clear how results achieved by partners will complement the project's intended results and a communication strategy is in place to communicate results and raise visibility vis-à-vis key partners. Options for south-south and triangular cooperation have been considered, as appropriate. (all must be true)
- 2: *Some analysis has been conducted on the role of other partners in the area where the project intends to work, and relatively limited evidence supports the proposed engagement of and division of labour between UNDP and partners through the project, with unclear funding and communications strategies or plans.*
- 1: No clear analysis has been conducted on the role of other partners in the area that the project intends to work. There is risk that the project overlaps and/or does not coordinate with partners' interventions in this area. Options for south-south and triangular cooperation have not been considered, despite its potential relevance.

Evidence:

A political economy analysis on the promotion of social cohesion in Iraq was drafted and attached. Meetings with international partners who work in the field of social cohesion to discuss updates were coordinated periodically.

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
1	IraqPoliticalEconomyAnalysisUpdateJune2020002_10587_106 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/IraqPoliticalEconomyAnalysisUpdateJune2020002_10587_106.pdf)	sara.malamud@undp.org	11/19/2021 2:25:00 PM
2	Nov.21DonorMeeingsMinutes_10587_106 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/Nov.21DonorMeeingsMinutes_10587_106.docx)	sara.malamud@undp.org	11/22/2021 8:37:00 AM
3	MoM4FebInformalPartnersDonorsWorkingGrouponSocialCohesion002JPP-Copy_10587_106 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/MoM4FebInformalPartnersDonorsWorkingGrouponSocialCohesion002JPP-Copy_10587_106.docx)	sara.malamud@undp.org	11/22/2021 8:37:00 AM

Principled**Quality Rating: Satisfactory**

7. Does the project apply a human rights-based approach?

- 3: The project is guided by human rights and incorporates the principles of accountability, meaningful participation, and non-discrimination in the project's strategy. The project upholds the relevant international and national laws and standards. Any potential adverse impacts on enjoyment of human rights were rigorously identified and assessed as relevant, with appropriate mitigation and management measures incorporated into project design and budget. (all must be true)
- 2: *The project is guided by human rights by prioritizing accountability, meaningful participation and non-discrimination. Potential adverse impacts on enjoyment of human rights were identified and assessed as relevant, and appropriate mitigation and management measures incorporated into the project design and budget. (both must be true)*
- 1: No evidence that the project is guided by human rights. Limited or no evidence that potential adverse impacts on enjoyment of human rights were considered.

Evidence:

The project document provides information on human rights and a human rights-based approach.

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
1	IRP2019t02021signedwithAnnexes_10587_107 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/IRP2019t02021signedwithAnnexes_10587_107.pdf)	sara.malamud@undp.org	11/29/2021 2:04:00 PM

8. Does the project use gender analysis in the project design?

- 3: A participatory gender analysis has been conducted and results from this gender analysis inform the development challenge, strategy and expected results sections of the project document. Outputs and indicators of the results framework include explicit references to gender equality, and specific indicators measure and monitor results to ensure women are fully benefitting from the project. (all must be true)
- 2: *A basic gender analysis has been carried out and results from this analysis are scattered (i.e., fragmented and not consistent) across the development challenge and strategy sections of the project document. The results framework may include some gender sensitive outputs and/or activities but gender inequalities are not consistently integrated across each output. (all must be true)*
- 1: The project design may or may not mention information and/or data on the differential impact of the project's development situation on gender relations, women and men, but the gender inequalities have not been clearly identified and reflected in the project document.

Evidence:

A number of gender focused analyses have been carried out to inform the implementation of activities during the restructuring of the project. The results framework also includes gender sensitivities.

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
1	IraqMissionReport_ROLandGender_8-12September2019_FinalDraftforcomments-Copy_10587_108 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/IraqMissionReport_ROLandGender_8-12September2019_FinalDraftforcomments-Copy_10587_108.docx)	sara.malamud@undp.org	11/22/2021 8:39:00 AM
2	EnglishWorkshopAgendaSGBV-PDF_10587_108 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/EnglishWorkshopAgendaSGBV-PDF_10587_108.pdf)	sara.malamud@undp.org	11/22/2021 8:39:00 AM
3	Invitationletter_SGVBworkshop4Dec__10587_108 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/Invitationletter_SGVBworkshop4Dec__10587_108.pdf)	sara.malamud@undp.org	11/22/2021 8:39:00 AM

9. Did the project support the resilience and sustainability of societies and/or ecosystems?

- 3: Credible evidence that the project addresses sustainability and resilience dimensions of development challenges, which are integrated in the project strategy and design. The project reflects the interconnections between the social, economic and environmental dimensions of sustainable development. Relevant shocks, hazards and adverse social and environmental impacts have been identified and rigorously assessed with appropriate management and mitigation measures incorporated into project design and budget. (all must be true)
- 2: *The project design integrates sustainability and resilience dimensions of development challenges. Relevant shocks, hazards and adverse social and environmental impacts have been identified and assessed, and relevant management and mitigation measures incorporated into project design and budget. (both must be true)*
- 1: Sustainability and resilience dimensions and impacts were not adequately considered.

Evidence:

A Social and Environmental Screening was done to identify and respond to any possible risks.

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
1	SESIRP_10587_109 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/SESIRP_10587_109.docx)	sara.malamud@undp.org	11/19/2021 2:38:00 PM

10. Has the Social and Environmental Screening Procedure (SESP) been conducted to identify potential social and environmental impacts and risks? The SESP is not required for projects in which UNDP is Administrative Agent only and/or projects comprised solely of reports, coordination of events, trainings, workshops, meetings, conferences and/or communication materials and information dissemination. [if yes, upload the completed checklist. If SESP is not required, provide the reason for the exemption in the evidence section.]

- Yes
 No
 SESP not required because project consists solely of (Select all exemption criteria that apply)
- 1: Preparation and dissemination of reports, documents and communication materials
 - 2: Organization of an event, workshop, training
 - 3: Strengthening capacities of partners to participate in international negotiations and conferences
 - 4: Partnership coordination (including UN coordination) and management of networks
 - 5: Global/regional projects with no country-level activities(e.g.activities such as knowledge management, inter-governmental processes)
 - 6: UNDP serves as Administrative Agent
 - 7: Development Effectiveness projects and Institutional Effectiveness projects

Evidence:

The Social and Environmental Screening is attached.

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Risk Category	Risk Requirements	Document Status	Modified By	Modified On
1	SESI RP_1 0587_110 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QA/Form Documents/SESI IRP_1 0587_110.docx)	Low	Human Rights; Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment; Displacement and resettlement	Final	sara.malamud@undp.org	11/19/2021 2:40:00 PM

Management & Monitoring

Quality Rating: **Satisfactory**

11. Does the project have a strong results framework?

- 3: The project's selection of outputs and activities are at an appropriate level. Outputs are accompanied by SMART, results-oriented indicators that measure the key expected development changes, each with credible data sources and populated baselines and targets, including gender sensitive, target group focused, sex-disaggregated indicators where appropriate. (all must be true)
- 2: *The project's selection of outputs and activities are at an appropriate level. Outputs are accompanied by SMART, results-oriented indicators, but baselines, targets and data sources may not yet be fully specified. Some use of target group focused, sex-disaggregated indicators, as appropriate. (all must be true)*
- 1: The project's selection of outputs and activities are not at an appropriate level; outputs are not accompanied by SMART, results-oriented indicators that measure the expected change and have not been populated with baselines and targets; data sources are not specified, and/or no gender sensitive, sex-disaggregation of indicators. (if any is true)

Evidence:

Following the restructuring of the project, appropriate outputs and activities were determined. The most updated project document is attached.

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
1	IRP2019t02021signedwithAnnexes_10587_111 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/IRP2019t02021signedwithAnnexes_10587_111.pdf)	sara.malamud@undp.org	11/29/2021 2:05:00 PM

12. Is the project's governance mechanism clearly defined in the project document, including composition of the project board?

- 3: The project's governance mechanism is fully defined. Individuals have been specified for each position in the governance mechanism (especially all members of the project board.) Project Board members have agreed on their roles and responsibilities as specified in the terms of reference. The ToR of the project board has been attached to the project document. (all must be true)
- 2: *The project's governance mechanism is defined; specific institutions are noted as holding key governance roles, but individuals may not have been specified yet. The project document lists the most important responsibilities of the project board, project director/manager and quality assurance roles. (all must be true)*
- 1: The project's governance mechanism is loosely defined in the project document, only mentioning key roles that will need to be filled at a later date. No information on the responsibilities of key positions in the governance mechanism is provided.

Evidence:

The project document lists the responsibilities and members of the the project board. Both the project document and TOR for the project board are attached.

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
1	Reconciliation_Project_Board_ToR_10587_112 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/Reconciliation_Project_Board_ToR_10587_112.docx)	sara.malamud@undp.org	11/22/2021 11:26:00 AM
2	IRP2019t02021signedwithAnnexes_10587_112 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/IRP2019t02021signedwithAnnexes_10587_112.pdf)	sara.malamud@undp.org	11/29/2021 2:05:00 PM

13. Have the project risks been identified with clear plans stated to manage and mitigate each risk?

- 3: Project risks related to the achievement of results are fully described in the project risk log, based on comprehensive analysis drawing on the programme's theory of change, Social and Environmental Standards and screening, situation analysis, capacity assessments and other analysis such as funding potential and reputational risk. Risks have been identified through a consultative process with key internal and external stakeholders, including consultation with the UNDP Security Office as required. Clear and complete plan in place to manage and mitigate each risk, including security risks, reflected in project budgeting and monitoring plans. (both must be true)
- 2: *Project risks related to the achievement of results are identified in the initial project risk log based on a minimum level of analysis and consultation, with mitigation measures identified for each risk.*
- 1: Some risks may be identified in the initial project risk log, but no evidence of consultation or analysis and no clear risk mitigation measures identified. This option is also selected if risks are not clearly identified, no initial risk log is included with the project document and/or no security risk management process has taken place for the project.

Evidence:

The updated project document provides a list of risks identified.

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
1	IRP2019t02021signedwithAnnexes_10587_113 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/IRP2019t02021signedwithAnnexes_10587_113.pdf)	sara.malamud@undp.org	11/29/2021 2:06:00 PM

Efficient**Quality Rating: Satisfactory**

14. Have specific measures for ensuring cost-efficient use of resources been explicitly mentioned as part of the project design? This can include, for example:

- i) Using the theory of change analysis to explore different options of achieving the maximum results with the resources available.
- ii) Using a portfolio management approach to improve cost effectiveness through synergies with other interventions.
- iii) Through joint operations (e.g., monitoring or procurement) with other partners.
- iv) Sharing resources or coordinating delivery with other projects.
- v) Using innovative approaches and technologies to reduce the cost of service delivery or other types of interventions.

- Yes
 No

Evidence:

A portfolio management approach has been in place since 2019 with innovative approaches and technologies utilized during the COVID pandemic. Further, the project has shared resources and coordinated delivery with other social cohesion projects.

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
1	NewUNDPSocialCohesionProgramme21Nov_10587_114 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/NewUNDPSocialCohesionProgramme21Nov_10587_114.pdf)	sara.malamud@undp.org	11/22/2021 11:29:00 AM

15. Is the budget justified and supported with valid estimates?

- 3: The project's budget is at the activity level with funding sources, and is specified for the duration of the project period in a multi-year budget. Realistic resource mobilisation plans are in place to fill unfunded components. Costs are supported with valid estimates using benchmarks from similar projects or activities. Cost implications from inflation and foreign exchange exposure have been estimated and incorporated in the budget. Adequate costs for monitoring, evaluation, communications and security have been incorporated.
- 2: *The project's budget is at the activity level with funding sources, when possible, and is specified for the duration of the project in a multi-year budget, but no funding plan is in place. Costs are supported with valid estimates based on prevailing rates.*
- 1: The project's budget is not specified at the activity level, and/or may not be captured in a multi-year budget.

Evidence:

The budget is listed in the workplan and has been shared with the donor.

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
1	IRP2019t02021signedwithAnnexes_10587_115 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/IRP2019t02021signedwithAnnexes_10587_115.pdf)	sara.malamud@undp.org	11/29/2021 2:06:00 PM

16. Is the Country Office / Regional Hub / Global Project fully recovering the costs involved with project implementation?

- 3: The budget fully covers all project costs that are attributable to the project, including programme management and development effectiveness services related to strategic country programme planning, quality assurance, pipeline development, policy advocacy services, finance, procurement, human resources, administration, issuance of contracts, security, travel, assets, general services, information and communications based on full costing in accordance with prevailing UNDP policies (i.e., UPL, LPL.)
- 2: *The budget covers significant project costs that are attributable to the project based on prevailing UNDP policies (i.e., UPL, LPL) as relevant.*
- 1: The budget does not adequately cover project costs that are attributable to the project, and UNDP is cross-subsidizing the project.

Evidence:

The direct project costs for country offices' support services are included.

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
No documents available.			

Effective**Quality Rating: Highly Satisfactory**

17. Have targeted groups been engaged in the design of the project?

- 3: Credible evidence that all targeted groups, prioritising discriminated and marginalized populations that will be involved in or affected by the project, have been actively engaged in the design of the project. The project has an explicit strategy to identify, engage and ensure the meaningful participation of target groups as stakeholders throughout the project, including through monitoring and decision-making (e.g., representation on the project board, inclusion in samples for evaluations, etc.)
- 2: *Some evidence that key targeted groups have been consulted in the design of the project.*
- 1: No evidence of engagement with targeted groups during project design.
- Not Applicable

Evidence:

During the re-design of the project, targeted groups have been consulted with through support from Social Cohesion field coordinators.

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
1	SocialCohesionMappingandRecommendationsIra2019Malinlastdraft_10587_117 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAForDocuments/SocialCohesionMappingandRecommendationsIra2019Malinlastdraft_10587_117.docx)	sara.malamud@undp.org	11/28/2021 8:41:00 AM

18. Does the project plan for adaptation and course correction if regular monitoring activities, evaluation, and lesson learned demonstrate there are better approaches to achieve the intended results and/or circumstances change during implementation?

- Yes
- No

Evidence:

The project has taken lessons learned and evaluated the implementation of activities from the original project plan to amend and re-design the project in 2019.

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
1	LessonsLearnedUNDPIRPDec2018_10587_118 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/LessonsLearnedUNDPIRPDec2018_10587_118.docx)	sara.malamud@undp.org	11/24/2021 11:53:00 AM
2	Lessonlearnt_UK_10587_118 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/Lessonlearnt_UK_10587_118.pdf)	sara.malamud@undp.org	11/24/2021 12:03:00 PM

19. The gender marker for all project outputs are scored at GEN2 or GEN3, indicating that gender has been fully mainstreamed into all project outputs at a minimum.

- Yes
 No

Evidence:

Gender sensitivities are present in project outputs, as listed in the project document.

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
1	IRP2019t02021signedwithAnnexes_10587_119 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/IRP2019t02021signedwithAnnexes_10587_119.pdf)	sara.malamud@undp.org	11/29/2021 2:06:00 PM

Sustainability & National Ownership**Quality Rating: Satisfactory**

20. Have national / regional / global partners led, or proactively engaged in, the design of the project?

- 3: National partners (or regional/global partners for regional and global projects) have full ownership of the project and led the process of the development of the project jointly with UNDP.
- 2: *The project has been developed by UNDP in close consultation with national / regional / global partners.*
- 1: The project has been developed by UNDP with limited or no engagement with national partners.

Evidence:

The project works closely with different Iraqi government entities to design the project.

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
1	Najaf_SIRI_Mission_20170726_10587_120 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/Najaf_SIRI_Mission_20170726_10587_120.docx)	sara.malamud@undp.org	11/24/2021 12:13:00 PM
2	Nov.21DonorMeeingsMinutes_10587_120 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/Nov.21DonorMeeingsMinutes_10587_120.docx)	sara.malamud@undp.org	11/24/2021 12:05:00 PM
3	MoM4FebInformalPartnersDonorsWorkingGrouponSocialCohesion002JPP-Copy_10587_120 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/MoM4FebInformalPartnersDonorsWorkingGrouponSocialCohesion002JPP-Copy_10587_120.docx)	sara.malamud@undp.org	11/24/2021 12:05:00 PM

21. Are key institutions and systems identified, and is there a strategy for strengthening specific / comprehensive capacities based on capacity assessments conducted?

- 3: The project has a strategy for strengthening specific capacities of national institutions and/or actors based on a completed capacity assessment. This strategy includes an approach to regularly monitor national capacities using clear indicators and rigorous methods of data collection, and adjust the strategy to strengthen national capacities accordingly.
- 2: *A capacity assessment has been completed. There are plans to develop a strategy to strengthen specific capacities of national institutions and/or actors based on the results of the capacity assessment.*
- 1: Capacity assessments have not been carried out.
- Not Applicable

Evidence:

Lessons learned and mapping exercises are attached.

List of Uploaded Documents			
#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
1	LessonsLearnedUNDPIRPDec2018_10587_121 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/LessonsLearnedUNDPIRPDec2018_10587_121.docx)	sara.malamud@undp.org	11/22/2021 11:23:00 AM
2	SocialCohesionMappingandRecommendationsIraq2019Malinlastdraft_10587_121 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/SocialCohesionMappingandRecommendationsIraq2019Malinlastdraft_10587_121.docx)	sara.malamud@undp.org	11/22/2021 11:23:00 AM
3	ReconciliationandSocialCohesioninIraqCommunityMechanisms_10587_121 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/ReconciliationandSocialCohesioninIraqCommunityMechanisms_10587_121.docx)	sara.malamud@undp.org	11/22/2021 11:23:00 AM

22. Is there is a clear strategy embedded in the project specifying how the project will use national systems (i.e., procurement, monitoring, evaluations, etc.) to the extent possible?

- Yes
- No
- Not Applicable*

Evidence:

N/A

List of Uploaded Documents			
#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
No documents available.			

23. Is there a clear transition arrangement / phase-out plan developed with key stakeholders in order to sustain or scale up results (including resource mobilisation and communications strategy)?

- Yes
 No

Evidence:

Discussions with the government and donor to scale up and expand implementation geographically and thematically have been held. The programme is expected to receive additional funds from Denmark in the coming years.

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
1	StrategyPaperUNDPIraqSocialCohesionNov2019-Copy_10587_123 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/StrategyPaperUNDPIraqSocialCohesionNov2019-Copy_10587_123.docx)	sara.malamud@undp.org	11/24/2021 1:47:00 PM

QA Summary/LPAC Comments